

Dear FCC, Although I have 50+ stations, I watch perhaps 10 on a regular basis, but because of the tiered fee structure that the cable companies command, I am forced to pay what I consider to be a monopoly price per needed channel, now increased to \$47 per month.

Not only am I stuck within the Time Warner universe because of no competition, I am having to pay for content that continues to be dominated by Sports, Shopping, News, Entertainment... How many Sports Channels does one need? And Time Warner's explanation for increased costs is the fees that they are being charged by ESPN and so forth. To my earlier point, I do not even watch ESPN nor the other multitude of sports offering, and yet I am being forced to pay for it.

Aside from the fact that true user choice would be based on a per channel fee that would be determined by market demand where one would pay only for what one used at market prices - those wanting ESPN would pay more for that choice, those wanting CNBC would pay less for that choice, it is clear that more and more choice is being diverted to the higher priced scale of Time Warner's menu; just look at the newer Digital selections.

So what am I faced with along with millions of other customers? Increased fees for less demand of lower quality.

Force Time Warner to allow more flexibility within their fee structure - if they can offer video on demand, they can offer a per channel demand basis - the technology allows it to be.

If Time Warner refuses, then please get our rates lowered.

Thank you.